UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

FILED (I)

2015 APR 14 P 3: 14

U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT E.D. MICHIGAN-DETROIT

Chapter 9 Case No. 13-53846 Magistrate Judge:

Thomas J. Tucker

Creditor/Objector

v In re

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN,

Debtor/Municipality

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF MOTION OF THE CITY OF DETROIT, PURSUANT TO SECTIONS105(a) AND 502(c) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE AND BANKRUPTCY RULE 3021, TO BE INCLUDED FOR AN ORDER APPROVING RESERVE AMOUNT FOR CERTAIN DISPUTED OR UNLIQUIDATED UNSECURED CLAIMS IN CONNECTION WITH DISTRIBUTIONS TO BE MADE UNDER THE EIGHTH AMENDED PLAN FOR THE ADJUSTMENT OF THECITY OF DETROIT

NOW COMES the above creditor/objector in this response object to the document disguised as a notice, pursuant to Section 105(a) and 502(c) of the bankruptcy code and bankruptcy rule 3021, to be included for an order for an order approving reserve amount for certain disputed or unliquidated unsecured claims in connection with distributions to be made under the Eighth Amended Plan for the Adjustment of the city of Detroit and for the following reasons:(1)

- 1) The plan of adjustment was not published, nor Section 105(a) 502(c) or Bankruptcy Rule 3021 and did not issue any notices in compliance with 11 USC 923 and contravened the Bankruptcy procedures and process, therefore I want all money and anything of monetary value returned and/or refunded and any future money taken prohibited.
- a) The Plan of Adjustment, confirmation of the plan and anything connected or stemming from the plan of Adjustment was not filed in good faith manner and has to complied with the Fed. R. of bankruptcy procedures 2002(f) and the code 11 USC 923 governing the notice. Goldberg v. Kelly 397 U.S. 254 (1970) citing Armstrong v. Manzo, U.S. 545, 552 (1965). There have been numerous objections to the violations of your way of so called notifying peoples in the following objections Docket Numbers: 8306, 8233, 8314, 8428,8310.
- 2) The plan of adjustment was not implemented by consent of the people and/or creditors and violated 11 USC 903, however since the city is moving forward we/I demand and accept a refund and Cost of Living Allowance (COLA) of all the funds deducted from my pension checks.
- 3) The State of Michigan through the emergency manager Kevyn Orr filed for bankruptcy under fraud disguised as the City of Detroit and contravened 11 USC 904 and owes the city money spent under false pretense. The State is required to pay or to fund any program that it create under the Michigan State Constitution.

4) We/I object further to this notice also because (1) the eight amended plan of adjustment never was voted on at all and any other plan or plans were not legally voted on and the union members were coerced to vote to accept the plan. (2) The plan only attempt was during the fourth or fifth amended plan of adjustment with counterfeit ballots your signature on one page and your vote on another and not a voting ballot in compliance with the Official Form 14 ballot.(3) The union members received material in a voting packages that had solicitation for a yes votes with a letter from Shirley Lightsey a representative of the retiree committee and Donald Taylor president of Detroit retiree, Police and Fire Fighters on the behalf of the board of directors and the Emergency Manager Kevyn Orr encouraging a Yes vote, therefore, a violation of the Michigan Election Statute (MCL 168.485) and thus due process and equal protection of the law violations of depriving and denying creditors Basic Civil Right 42 USC 1983 and due process of law of the 5th and 14th Amendments of the Constitution of the United States.

| Sincerely submitted from Teleston |
|--------------------------------------|
| Name JANICE RICKEH |
| Address 9857 BALGUE |
| City, State, & Zip DEFRONT, MT 48224 |

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISON [1]

| | 2015 APR 4 P 3: 14 |
|--|--|
| Name | U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT |
| V | U.S. BANKRUPTOY COURT E.D. MICAGAH-BETROIT |
| In re: CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN AND EMERGENCY MANAGER KEVYN D. ORR | Chapter 9 Case No. 13-53846 Magistrate judge: Thomas J. Tucker |
| Debtor | |
| | / |
| PROOF OF SERVICE | |
| Carl Williams | , being first duly sworn deposes and |
| Say that on April \(\frac{1}{3} \) 2015. I sent a copy | y of Response to Notice of motion of the |
| City of Detroit, pursuant to section 105(a) | and 502 (c) of the bankruptcy code |
| and Rule 3021 to be included for order app | proving reserve amount for certain |
| disputed or unliquidated unsecured claims in connection with distributions to be | |
| made under the Eighth amended plan of ad | justment of the city of Detroit of |

Magistrate Judge Thomas J Tucker, upon the concern parties by certified

mail at the following address:

Heather Lennox (OH 0059649 JONES DAY North Point 901 Lakeside Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44114

I/We hereby certify that the statements made herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, under penalty of perjury and contempt of Court under the laws of the United States of America.

Sign Carl 3/ Illiams